

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,038	02/20/2002	Anatoli Fomenko	SUN-P6506	9522	
	7590 01/30/200 ICKAY & HODGSON	•	EXAMINER		
1900 GARDEN		,	GILLIS, I	BRIAN J	
SUITE 220 MONTEREY,	CA 93940		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2141		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,038	FOMENKO, ANATOLI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Brian J. Gillis	2141		

	Brian J. Gillis	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		ETINOT NEI ET WOT	ices within
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	hs of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	oomooponamy named or many to	jootoa olaliirioi	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(*
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-61</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
See Continuation Sheet.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:			
		Kenneth A. Famarxe	COULTER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed January 12, 2007 have fully been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts the plug-ins in Wiles must be modified. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, the plug-ins are used for Internet server management which is widely known to include various tasks including installing data onto a server (paragraphs 225, 226, and 229). Applicant asserts the prior art fails to teach of providing a deployment descriptor with an applicantion in a platform specific model. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, Apte teaches of adopting (packaging) an independent Java Bean into a platform specific model (column 7, lines 23-27). Applicant asserts Kushnirskiy fails to teach of using server plug-ins. The Examiner respectfully disagrees, plug-ins are widely known to extend the functionality of either a server or a client. Kushnirskiy teaches of the feature of platforms having and using platform specific plug-ins (paragraph 16).